THE WEEKLY COMMERCIAL.

A LARGE SHEET CONTAINING ALL THE CURRENT NEWS, MISCELLANEOUS, LITERARY AND SCIENTIFIC INTELLIGENCE, AND VALUABLE READING FOR THE FAMILY.

TERMS OF THE WEEKLY:

Per Year, (52 issues).....$2 00
In Clubs of Twenty.....1 50
One copy gratis to the getter-up of the Club.

The money must always accompany the order and in no instance can these terms be deviated from.

☞ Postmasters are requested to act as Agents.

Address COMMERCIAL, PITTSBURGH.

SPRING IS COMING.

BY A. J. REQUIEM.

I know it by the hyacinths
Which now begin to blow,
And flitting voices strangely sweet
And tremulously low.
By something purer in the sun,
And softer in the air,
And holier in the twilight stars,
That Spring will soon be here.

The Almanacs are well enough
For gardeners and for cooks—
I seek the seasons in the sky,
And find them by the brooks;
I hear them on the breezy hills,
And, in the hollows, see
The token flowers and signs that speak
Their messages to me.

And thus, I glean from gleaming isles
Of sunset in the West;
From waving of outtiring wings
That will not long be tired;
From spells of fragrance spiced afar,
And parting sparks of gold;
And silver jingles in the wind,
The shepherd of a Queen!

I know it by the hyacinths
Which now begin to blow,
That Winter, on his lofty hills,
Is dead or nearly so,
And soon will come with flaxen curls,
Led by the laughing hours,
The loving daughter of the Sun,
In glorifying showers!

REMARKS OF
HON. L. W. HALL,
SPEAKER OF THE SENATE,

In favor of a Free Railroad Law, and against the amendments made to the bill by the Railroad Committee of the Senate.

Mr. HALL—Mr. Speaker, I did not intend, when I made a few remarks on this bill the other day, to occupy the attention of the Senate any further in regard to it. When repeated votes showed from eighteen to twenty in favor of it, and from eleven to twelve against it, I supposed that the minds of Senators were made up, and that we would not be warranted in taking up time to the exclusion of other matters of legislation. And I should not now, had it not been for the remarks of my friend, the Senator from Bradford [Mr. Landon]. I do not rise to reflect upon any railroad corporation—certainly not upon the Pennsylvania Railroad. I have had quite as much to do with that corporation as the Senator from Bradford, and am quite as familiar with the region of country which I have traversed by that great railroad. I am well aware that it has greatly enriched Central Pennsylvania—that its value has been increased to ten times, yea twenty times, what it was before that road was constructed. I have never lent myself, either directly or indirectly, to any effort that was calculated to crush this railroad or impair its usefulness. I have never opposed or sought to thwart any legislation calculated to encourage and strengthen it, when such legislation tended to the public welfare. But with all due deference to my brother Senators, I must say that any one who knows the popular sentiment must know that the people of Pennsylvania are determined to have a free railroad law. But the people do not want a railroad law so loaded down and clogged with restrictions that it will be impracticable even to construct a railroad under it. Let the Senator go to his constituents, and I think that he will find that they as well as my constituents, are in favor of a free railroad law. But the bill now before us—such a law as will be practically inoperative, and a nullity. I hold in my hand the inaugural address of Governor Curtin, in which they say the people demand a free railroad law. Such I found to be the unmistakable sentiment of the people of Bradford county, and a large portion of them last fall, and in no part of the State did I find a more determined and earnest feeling in favor of the movement than in Northern Pennsylvania, where the people gave their majorities by thousands for General Geary. Believing, as I do, that such is the general sentiment, and that the wants of the people must be heeded by their chosen representatives, in view, too, of the speech just made by the Senator from Bradford, I challenge the supporters of this so-called free railroad bill, when it comes up for its passage, without a single alteration, to discuss its merits. I opposed the free railroad bill which was introduced last winter by my distinguished friend, the Senator from Fayette, (Mr. Lowry,) and I gave satisfactory reasons, the other day, for my opposition thereto. It is not necessary to repeat those reasons now. What I demand in the present bill is that people is a liberal railroad law; not one in name, simply, but in reality. Is it not deluding and cheating the people to call that a free railroad law which requires fifteen hundred dollars of capital stock for every mile of road to be subscribed and paid in before anything can be done? Senators know that this clause will have the effect to enhance the price of railroad iron, and to retard and prevent the development of the country by internal improvements; in short, that *no railroad would ever be built* under such a law if it could be avoided, and is it not better to strike out such provisions?

You have also interpolated into this bill a most onerous condition in the shape of an individual liability clause, which is calculated to intimidate persons and prevent them from taking stock. The Pennsylvania Railroad, that opened up and developed Central Pennsylvania, and to which the State is indebted for that large measure for its wealth and prosperity, and which is admitted to be the greatest and best managed road in the United States, has a special charter. It contains no individual liability clause, and why should such a provision be embraced in this bill? When it was proposed to pass a law similar to that of Ohio and New York, it was voted down, and no substantial reason has been or can be advanced to warrant such action. And now when an amendment is offered to this bill, which would tend to promote and encourage the building of railroads, the arguments advanced against it by its opponents are equally futile and empty. And when it is proposed to pass a law, in the New York law, simply allowing corporations to connect their roads, and to embrace in this bill the provisions of a law voted for by the Senator from Bradford in 1861, we are told it is wrong to do this—but why? Simply because nineteen Senators say the bill shall not be changed. A convincing reason.

Let a law be passed allowing people to build railroads wherever they choose, provided they pay for them as they go, subject to reasonable restraints, and these are contained, as far as I think it necessary to go, in the provisions of the act of 1849. A liberal railroad law is not demanded by the people of Pittsburgh alone, but by the people of the entire State. A law so liberal that charters can be obtained, that capital will be invested and railroads constructed under it without the corporators being obliged to come to the Legislature. It is plain to me that the majority of the Senate differ with me in my views. My votes on the bill in committee of the whole as well as in the Senate, have uniformly been for the bill as it was the most liberal policy. We of the minority have briefly and explicitly as possible stated the reasons for our votes. We see and know that one-third cannot vote down two-thirds. And when the bill, garbed in the precise language that it came from the Railroad Committe, not changed in letter, word or line, is about to pass, we are taunted that we have been able to give no good reason for our votes. And that our efforts to amend the bill, as it came from the Railroad Committee, have only added increased strength to the majority and added a renewed determination on their part that the bill shall pass unamended and unchanged, either in the sections voted on or in those which are to follow. The Senator from Bradford [Mr. Landon] desires that the issue shall be made, so that the people shall understand it. The Senator is clever as well as bold; and as he thus declares that the bill as reported by the Railroad Committee is perfect as it can be made, permit me to point out what I think are striking defects in it.

First. I think the amount of capital stock per mile required is too large, and that it may tend to discourage the construction of railways. I can see no reason why the amount should be larger than is now required in the general law under which railroad companies are organized in Pennsylvania. If there is any good reason, I would be glad to hear it.

Second. Whilst I think it is very possible there should be some individual liability clause, for the protection of the public, I object to and oppose the stringent wording of the section which might restrain the construction of railroads. I am aware that under the general railroad law of New York stockholders are liable to the amount of their stock not paid in, and also for the wages of labor, for a period not exceeding thirty days. This provision of the New York law was offered as an amendment to the section as it now stands in the bill, by the Senator from Indiana (Mr. White). And although I voted for it, it was voted down by a decided majority, the Senator from Bradford [Mr. Landon] being one of that majority. There is no individual liability clause in the charter of any railroad now in existence in Pennsylvania, that I know of. And I respectfully submit to the Senate, if it is not liberal nor wise, in this beginning of a new system, to test that system by sections so stringent in their character. There is nothing of the kind in the act incorporating the Pennsylvania Railroad Company. And I have heard no reason in favor of it now, save that the bill must pass as it came from the Railroad Committee, without the erasure of a word or the obliteration of a line. And this is the fiat as well in the sections that are to be voted on as in those on which the Senate has acted. I cannot think, Mr. Speaker, with all deference to the views of my brother Senators, that this is liberal, or that it will fully meet the wishes of the people whom we represent.

Third. I believe the time allowed for surveys and filing maps is too short. The survey is to be commenced within thirty days, and to be completed within six months. This is too short. The history of the railroad litigation of the State shows this. And yet the majority decline to change it.

Fourth. The power which the Legislature reserves (in section thirteen), by special or general act, "to amend, change, modify or repeal the charter of any corporation organized under this act as the same was provided for in the thirteenth section of the act regulating the construction of lateral railroads, approved the 5th day of May, 1832," is, in my judgment, both unwise and unconstitutional. Unwise, because, I fear, it will discourage the investment. Unconstitutional, because the present Constitution of Pennsylvania as amended and adopted in 1858, six years after the passage of the lateral railroad law referred to, expressly declares that the Legislature shall only have the power to alter, revoke or annul any charter of incorporation hereafter conferred, by or under any special or general law, in such manner that no injustice shall be done to the corporation! Will the capitalists of Pennsylvania and of the country subscribe their money to build railroads under the bill, with this provisions hanging over their heads? Would the Senator from Philadelphia [Mr. Rigdway], who is a man of means and a successful manager of one of the best passenger railroads in the State, invest his money in building a railroad under a law giving any such power to subsequent Legislatures?

Mr. RIDGWAY. Certainly. I have the utmost confidence in this Legislature, and would be willing to invest my money, with that clause hanging over it.

Mr. HALL. Well, it is really strange that the Senator, who has been here several years, never had anything of the kind inserted in any railroad bill before.

Mr. RIDGWAY. I was never requested to do so.

Mr. HALL. Surely not, and why? Because it takes money to build railroads, and men generally are not such fools as to invest when the Legislature may repeal the law, and they thereby lose the whole or a part of their investment.

I also object to other clauses in the bill as it came from the Railroad Committee, which it is not necessary for me now to refer to. The people understand this question. They can neither be deceived nor trifled with. If I have changed my views, it is because I desire to vote in accordance with the will of my constituents, and in accordance with what I believe to be the views of nine-tenths of the people of Pennsylvania. I have voted, and shall continue to vote to make this law as liberal as I can get it. I trust the Senate may yet strike out some of these objectionable clauses. If not, and the bill passes both branches of the Legislature, as it came from the committee on Railroads, that it may be amended by subsequent Legislatures, and that the system of making and constructing railroads in Pennsylvania may be as broad and liberal as in any other State in the Union.

If New Jersey has lately, through her Legislature, adopted a free railway system. New York and Ohio, on our north and west, have liberal general railroad laws, and although it is true that Maryland refuses to permit trade and travel to pass through her borders, even to go to our National Capital, untaxed, yet this great and growing State will surely not wait for Maryland to act.

I have nothing more to add, Mr. Speaker. I favor a free railroad law because the people expect and demand it, and because I trust it may aid in the further development of our great and grand old State.

What Shall be Done?

[From the Pittsburgh Gazette, March 16.]

That the just expectations of the People of this Commonwealth, touching the enactment of a Free Railroad Law, have been grievously disappointed, is undeniable. Pending the last general election, in view of the manifestation of public opinion, the managers of both political parties felt constrained to give pledges to countenance and support the measure. This was done in authoritative and solemn commitments by the Republican and Democratic State Conventions, and by published letters from the competing candidates for Governor. The two parties and their respective nominees vied in artifice, which should most effectually gratify the popular demand. But in the hour of trial, both parties have been found wanting, and in equal degree.

A bill nominally to authorize those who will to build railroads has, indeed, passed one branch of the Legislature, and is likely to get through the other. But, if it shall become a law, not a mile of railroad will be built under it. The members who were instrumental in engrafting certain peculiar provisions upon it, while we have heretofore fully pointed out and elucidated, meant to hinder, not facilitate, railroad construction. They did not dare, under the circumstances, flatly to refuse a Free Railroad bill; and so to defeat the sentiment

Weekly Commercial.

SATURDAY, MARCH 23, 1867.

GOV. GEARY'S VETO MESSAGE.

Gov. GEARY'S veto message, on the bill granting unlimited powers to the Pennsylvania Railroad Company, has been received and printed in full elsewhere. No measure of such vast importance has demanded the action of the Executive of this State for many years, and it will kindle a noble admiration in the mind of the people to know that Gov. GEARY has proved himself fully equal to the occasion, and has branded the fearful proposition with the odious stigma it deserves. As the veto will undoubtedly be eagerly read and fully appreciated by our readers, it is not necessary for us to refer at this time to the salient features of the message.

It is a matter of pride for us to know that Pennsylvania has a Governor possessed of a courage so sublime, and a faithfulness to his people so rare, as to throw himself between the Commonwealth and a mighty and dangerous monopoly with a noble determination to preserve the rights of the people by controlling the powers of corporations. It cannot be denied that the Governor fully understood and appreciated all the bearings of this important measure, and that he has been controlled in the matter by a sincere and unquestionable desire to protect the interests of the people by keeping all corporations in complete subordination to the power and control of the law.

Governor GEARY by this veto has completely established his honesty and integrity of character, and has shown to the world that the pledges made by him, whilst a candidate for Governor, were not made merely to secure his election, but that the things promised by him were for the public weal. In thus redeeming his pledges as a candidate he has won for himself, what he justly deserves and will no doubt receive, the grateful thanks of the people. In the name of the people we thank him, and promise him the hearty support and encouragement of all our citizens who have at heart the best and truest interests of the State. This is a grand epoch in his life, and establishes the character of his administration. Let him keep right onward in this course, and his name will stand among the foremost of Pennsylvania's noble sons. We congratulate all our people that we have in JOHN W. GEARY an honest man, and an upright, fearless and efficient Governor, one who has proved himself above the blandishments of an unscrupulous and dangerous monopoly. Again, we tender him the thanks of the people.

MISSOURI AND MICHIGAN.

If we were called upon to pronounce as to which of these great States possesses the largest deposits of iron ore now accessible, and easily to be made accessible by railroads, we might find the decision difficult. Either of them contain enough to supply the whole country for ages. Missouri has the greater variety of ores, and the one or two kinds already reached by the Iron Mountain Road are more accessible to Pittsburgh and Southern Ohio than are the ores of Michigan. The latter reach water transportation at Marquette, by twenty miles or upwards of rail, coming thence by lake to Cleveland, and thence one hundred and fifty miles by rail to Pittsburgh. The Missouri Iron Mountain ores reach the Mississippi River with but sixty miles of rail transportation, and thence have water transportation to Pittsburgh. In the former route there are two trans-shipments necessary; in the latter but one, and that only from cars to boats, which is the least expensive transfer possible. The Missouri mines have the advantage of an agreeable and mild climate, and a situation in and near the cheapest food producing country on the continent. The Michigan mines have six months of winter—a country totally unproductive of supplies, and hardly two months of navigation to reach more productive regions or ship the products of the mines. The former were the first to be developed, and first had access by rail to a water communication. The first smelting furnaces erected in Pittsburgh were designed to use Missouri ore, and that ore went into blast. Notwithstanding these advantages, we now find that nearly all the iron ore used in Pittsburgh comes from Michigan. The Missouri mines produce probably but little, if any more, than they did a year or two after the Iron Mountain Railroad reached them. The extent of their shipment to this city in 1866 probably did not exceed three thousand tons. The Lake Superior mines in the same year produced within a fraction of three hundred thousand tons of ore. They shipped from Marquette during their four or five months of lake navigation 270,038 tons. Of this quantity, 179,500 tons were received at Cleveland to be sent to smelting furnaces in Eastern Ohio, Western Pennsylvania, and Pittsburgh. Nearly 60,000 tons were brought over the P. & C. Road to this city. About twenty times as much as we received from the Iron Mountain of Missouri. It is proper to say here that this 60,000 tons must not be mistaken for the measure of the Pittsburgh consumption of iron ore. We receive large quantities from Lake Champlain and Canada, in addition to our local ores, which latter might well be abandoned had we proper access to those of Missouri.

What is the reason for this wonderfully superior development of the Michigan mines over those of Missouri, when the advantages are, as we have shown, so greatly in favor of the latter? And how shall Missouri gain the supremacy to which her advantages of climate and position entitle her?

An answer to the first question is suggested by the fact that the Iron Mountains of Missouri as yet accessible are monopolized by one, or at most two companies; whilst those of Michigan are owned and worked by a dozen or more, each full of energy and activity in developing the mines they control. As the railroads progress to the interior, every year opens up new localities.

The answer to the second question is patent to any one conversant with the resources and capabilities of Southeast Missouri. The Iron Mountain and Pilot Knob are the most northerly of a series of outlying spurs or knobs of the Ozark Mountains. From that point southward—and chiefly along the west side of the St. Francis river there are numerous similar outcroppings of iron, at short intervals for the first forty or fifty miles—then at longer intervals, the most southerly being an immense deposit or mountain of ore in Arkansas. The most southerly in Missouri are those in Butler county, about forty miles due west of the mouth of the Ohio river. In quality and name these ores are of great variety. We have seen pipe ores from near Greenville, to all appearance equal to the best found in Eastern Pennsylvania—and the richest of Specular and Hernatch ores are said to abound in the same region. When the Iron Mountain Road shall be extended on its way to Memphis into Butler county, and connected with the Mississippi river, at the mouth of the Ohio, by the Cairo and Fulton Road, already built as far as Charleston, Pittsburgh will have access to the ores, and they will be developed with an energy and success which will soon demonstrate the answer we give to the question. If located on the route through this region as originally designed, we predict a magnificent future for the Iron Mountain Railroad. The transportation of iron ores for the furnaces at Pittsburgh, and along the Ohio from Cincinnati to Pittsburgh—would alone justify its construction at a moderate cost, to say nothing of lead and other minerals on the route, and the thousands of tons of marble, granite, limestone and lumber it will carry to be shipped by the river to the Southern cities. It will reach, at the mouth of the Ohio, the point of perpetual navigation on the Mississippi, and command all the traffic obstructed in its water passage north or south, by low water or ice. At all times its Ohio river terminus will be the point whence the south-bound Ohio river steamers will tranship or receive by its rail, St. Louis, and north and south-bound freights, which now they do not receive. By its Memphis connections, it will be part of the great through line from the cities of New Orleans, Mobile, Charleston and Savannah to St. Louis and the Pacific Railroad.

Its extension Southwestwardly, through Arkansas to Little Rock, or the same result effected by the Cairo and Fulton road—which must be one of its feeders—will draw to St. Louis an immensely valuable trade from Arkansas and northern Texas. In short it will stand west of the Mississippi the single trunk line through which must form the communication between the great net work of roads north of St. Louis and those of Arkansas and the great lines already centered at Memphis.

Pittsburgh and St. Louis have a common and paramount interest in the proper consummation of a project which is capable of developing so grandly the iron mines of Missouri. The latter city has a double stake in the business, for in no other way can she regain her Southwestern trade, or share in its increase. In no other way can she turn to herself, by an almost air line to New Orleans, the current of travel from the Southern cities, or counteract the Chicago tendencies of the Illinois Central Railroad, and the Louisville and Cincinnati biddings of the Memphis and Louisville Railroad.

We trust that the people of St. Louis will see to it, that the capabilities of the Iron Mountain Railroad, in the important particulars we have indicated, shall not be destroyed or marred by any diversion of the route to the Mississippi at points north of the mouth of the Ohio.

PITTSBURGH AND CLEVELAND.

One of the results our citizens hoped for from the passage of an honest free railroad bill, was the privilege it would confer upon them, to secure the construction of another railroad to Cleveland.

We have no complaint to make of the Pittsburgh and Cleveland Road, or its management. That road is not responsible for the fact that the business of the city has already outgrown its capacity properly to accommodate it. For years the manufacturing interests which have a chief market for their product in the Northwest, have suffered for want of additional facilities to reach the lake. This kind of pressure they have become accustomed to, and have begun to look with a sort of resignation upon the fact that, in part owing to the unsatisfactory manner in which the lake customers have been reached from their source of supply, they are rapidly erecting mills near home, by which to supply themselves. For their increase of production—falling to get another outlet to Cleveland—the Pittsburgh iron manufacturers might find another market, but within a few years past, a necessity to their business, has grown up which makes another road indispensable. We allude to the demand for the iron ores of Michigan, and the pig metal produced from them in Eastern Ohio and Western Pennsylvania.

During the year 1866, the product of the great iron mines of Michigan was 298,784 tons. There was shipped from Marquette during last summer, no less than 207,000 tons of ore and 18,000 tons of metal and blooms. Of this ore a large amount was delivered at Erie and other points, and 169,500 tons was delivered at Cleveland. Of this, 57,500 tons was brought to Pittsburgh. Nearly all of the balance was distributed to Smelting Furnaces on the Mahoning and Shenango, the product finding its way chiefly to Pittsburgh to be used in her rolling mills, forges and foundries. We are assured that if there was a competing Railroad from Cleveland to Pittsburgh, the shipments of ore to this point would be shortly doubled. Of our thirty-one rolling mill establishments, but four or five have blast furnaces. If assured of reliable and prompt facilities for getting supplies of ore, the blast furnaces would become adjuncts to many of them. Even as it is, the foundations of two additional furnaces are being laid, and we hear of others, waiting only for the *prospect* of another Railroad.

Including the ores brought by canal and river, probably 80,000 tons came to the city last year. The freight on eighty thousand tons of ore alone at the stated rates of the Pittsburgh and Cleveland Railroad, $2 50 per ton, would be $200,000. To assume that the consumption of iron ore in and about Pittsburgh could be doubled in a few years by increased transportation facilities is entirely within the mark. The Brady's Bend Iron Company, which will in a few weeks have railroad communication by the Allegheny Valley Road, say they will use if they can get it, at least 30,000 tons per annum of Lake Superior ore. The Monticello Furnace, near Kittanning, which had gone out of blast for lack of good ore, commenced on Lake Superior ore as soon as the stack was reached by the Allegheny Valley Railroad, and if transportation from Cleveland can be had numbers of the Allegheny iron furnaces will follow her successful example. The great works of the Cambria Iron Company, at Johnstown, would use a large quantity in the same event.

But it is useless further to enumerate. We have said enough to indicate how absolutely necessary to the progress of Pittsburgh, is an additional Railroad to Cleveland, and how great an inducement this single one of the many sources of profit offers to capitalists to engage in its construction.

PENITENTIARY STATISTICS.

The published report of the Board of Inspectors of the Western Penitentiary contains interesting information and materials for reflection. The first thing that strikes the notice is the large increase of convicts during the past year. The number at the beginning of the year was 259 and no less than 280 added before the year closed—enough having been discharged to leave the number at 418, viz: white males 383, females 8, colored males 25, females 2.

The nativity of the convicts shows that neither our own Commonwealth nor our country deserves the disgrace of them all. Pennsylvania gave birth to 171, Ireland 56, Germany 30, England 20, Scotland 5. Almost one-fifth of the whole are from the British Isles; and putting in 13 from Canada, more than one-fifth from the British dominions. New York State supplies 45, more than one-tenth of the whole.

Allegheny county has done its part in filling the penitentiary, which may indicate either a larger proportion of crime, or a stricter administration of justice. It has sent 155 of the whole number now in the prison, and of these it has sent 99 in the past year. Only a small proportion of these are natives of the State.

On the subject of habits and education, the statistics hardly support the old theories. Of persons without any education there are 59, able to read 63, to read and write 296. Of intemperate 160, of moderate 60, of temperate 198. Matrimony stands creditably in the case—of single persons there are 238, married 166, widowers 16. There are 53 under 20 years of age, one is so young as 13 years. Nine are between the age of 60 and 70 years. The oldest is aged 67, and his crime, violent, libidinous assault.

The times of servitude extend from one year to 22 years. During the past year 63 have been discharged by expiration of sentence, 46 by pardon. But two have died in the prison.

LET one thing be remembered: If the Bogus Free Railroad Bill goes upon the Statute book a law, it will be impossible, as Speaker HALL has proved, to build a Railroad until it is repealed; and till then the Pennsylvania Railroad Company would have everything their own way. The Bill as introduced, was in the interest of the people. Senator LANDON took it to the Managers of the Pennsylvania Company, and it was changed into a disabling act to serve them. The passage of the Bill in its present shape, would be a victory for the Monopoly over the people. The Managers are engineering it to the Legislature. Whoever would serve them will, of course, support the Bill as it stands; but as many as are disposed to serve the people, will oppose it to the end. A thousand times better would it be to pass no law at all, than the pending Bogus Bill.

THE COMMERCIAL IN THE STATE SENATE.

In the State Senate, on Monday, Colonel HARRY WHITE took decided exception to the course of the COMMERCIAL on the Free Railroad question, claiming that we had accused those Senators who resisted the disabling amendments, but voted for the Bill after the amendments were carried, of being fools or knaves. After Senators LOWRY, BIGHAM and others had indulged in some remarks (we have but a statement of the fact) the matter was dropped.

Now, Senator WHITE and his associates cannot have been attentive readers of the COMMERCIAL, and appear to be disturbed by phantoms of their own—very naturally under the circumstances—rather than by what we have said. The hardest epithet with which we have characterized the action of those whom we recognize as sincere friends of the Free Principle—including the Senators named—in voting for what they were so successful in showing was a disabling act, was "a mistake," the commission of which, we were careful to say, "in no manner reflected on their integrity." From first to last we have regarded them as having committed an unfortunate "mistake," and have urged them to retrieve it by yet placing themselves right on the record. But those who, though professing friendship for the Free Principle, were all the while the servants of the opposition and deliberately spread the snare into which sincere men fell, we have denounced, and do still denounce, as faithless to the Free Principle and worthy only of condemnation. Who they are, is as well known at Harrisburg as in Pittsburgh.

Going back to Senator WHITE'S question of privilege, we think he and those acting with him might have better employed their time in giving a reason why, failing to vote down amendments incorporated with the view of transforming the Free Bill into a disabling act, went for the Bill after the amendments had been inserted. And especially would it be in order for them to explain why, after the Bill had been shown by themselves to be "unconstitutional" as well as "impracticable for the construction of railroads," it commanded their votes. Unconstitutionality we supposed was at all times a perfectly fatal objection, one that no plea of expediency or other argument could weigh for an instant against. That Speaker HALL, and some others, should disregard it and swallow all they had said against the bill, is not to us at all strange. Not so, however, with the others, and it is probable that it is the position in which their action leaves them, and not anything the COMMERCIAL has said, that so much disturbs the gentlemen. Though Senator BIGHAM voted against the bill he desired to have it pass to see what they would do with it in the House.

We beg to suggest to Senator WHITE and his complaining associates, that this is not a question between them and the COMMERCIAL, but between them and the people. The COMMERCIAL has only shown why it is so; and it will be to no purpose for Senators to become angry at us. If anybody is between the upper and nether stone it is not our fault, and our course will be unchanged.

TEMPERANCE IN THE CAPITAL

The head officer over the subordinate police and watchmen of the Capitol, in Washington, intimating to them that their services would soon be brought to a close, informed them, also, that those who should succeed them would have to take not only the iron-clad oath, but likewise an ice-clad one. By this it was meant that they would have to be not only loyal but sober men; or, in other words, that they would have to give a pledge, with some "guarantees," that they would abstain from intoxicating drinks while on duty in charge of the public property.

The requirement of sobriety in the guardians of such vast and valuable public buildings the people will undoubtedly approve of. But how much more necessary is it that those who transact the momentous business of the Capitol should be temperate, sober men. A legislator in the halls who habitually or occasionally becomes intoxicated is a disgrace to the nation, to the party that he belongs to, and to the district that he represents. But besides this, he is a danger to the interests of the country, inasmuch as his vote may defeat the most useful or impose the most disastrous legislation.

Let us, by all means, have sober policemen, lamp lighters, subordinate officials, about the Capitol. But let a place which requires sound and clear minds a ceaseless vigilance and foresight of consequences, as that of a national legislator does, be filled only with sober men who have minds and keep them under the control of sober reason. It should be thought of at election time. There is the place and the season for applying the test of an "iceclad" oath.

THE DIFFERENCE.

The Governor of Vermont has called the Legislature of that State together in extra session. It is not on account of insurrection within nor invasion from without, not for earthquake or pestilence; but simply because the people of one section of the State, feeling in need of more railroad facilities, and desirous of supplying their need without unnecessary delay, have requested the Governor to convene the Legislature in order to secure the needful legal authorization. And the Governor has done it.

This looks well. This is putting Legislatures to their proper use. In fact they are made for this and such like purposes—to *legalize the will of the people*, who are supposed—"down country" at least—to know what they want and what is good for them.

In Pennsylvania, how different! The Legislators assemble, with a knowledge of the wishes of the people and of the wants of the State, with formal or informal pledges to authorize railroad facilities; and yet every effort made to secure the needed legislation fails. That much needed road, the Connellsville, cannot get legislative authorization, though half made and in operation already, and though its completion would greatly enhance the value of property in several entire counties without diminishing it in any. So, also, the general free railroad law, though about to pass in an emasculated form, is virtually frustrated as to its original intent, or is made to serve the purpose of extending the powers of a monopoly already too great. At every step of legislation on railroad affairs, there is a mysterious, steady counteracting influence, like friction in a machine, when its tendency is to the general advantage, and the same influence works like the best of lubricators, when the tendency of legislation is towards a favorite and favored corporation.

Why should it be harder to get freedom for railroad construction in Pennsylvania than in Vermont? Why should our Legislature refuse to legalize the will of the people, when that of Vermont is called together for the express purpose of doing it?

WHEN the so-called Free Railroad Bill—the bogus concern vouchsafed by the Pennsylvania Railroad Company—was on its passage in the Senate, the question was not, as Speaker HALL would have it appear, whether that bill or none should pass. The monopoly had votes enough to pass it any way; and the going for it by others only gave the appearance that the Free Principle was not defeated by the monopoly. If the question had been, this law or none, then there might have been some show of plausibility in going for it by those who, though they did not like it, nevertheless deemed it better than none; although they themselves must now admit that it would have been better had they steadily voted against it and allowed the Monopoly to shoulder the responsibility. The plea of taking the best that could be obtained was a snare successfully laid by the opponents of the Free Principle.

In the House, where the vote is yet to be taken, the same game will be attempted; but it is to be hoped the friends of the Free Principle there, be they few or many, if they cannot strike from the bill the amendments placed in it by the Monopoly to make it inoperative, will vote steadily against it. Let the Monopoly take the responsibility of denying to the people what both parties have promised them.

ARE THEY INHABITED?

A vast amount of speculation has been expended on the question, Is the moon inhabited? A question of more extensive practical interest has recently sprung up, and has been discussed at considerable length, and with a proportionate show of learning. It may be stated in the following terms: Is that conspicuous satellite that attends the head of a lady in its daily movements, the resort or residence of animate beings? In other words, Is the waterfall inhabited? Microscopic observations have been made on some of the principal ingredients of which the article is composed, the results of which, though not absolutely decisive of the question, are nevertheless highly interesting, especially to those who wear the appendage.

It is agreed on all hands by the disputants, —for the discussion has already become controversial—that the microscope has discovered some sort of a parasite on the imported hair introduced as the principal component of the waterfall. But there is quite enough room left to dispute upon, in the next question, viz., whether this be an animal or vegetable parasite. In whatever way this may be decided, there will inevitably, according to the custom of controversy, arise further questions on this subject as long as *chignons* exist. Should it prove to belong to the vegetable world, a warm debate, involving the national honor, will arise, to determine whether it is exotic, imported with the hair of European peasantry, or indigenous, grown near where it is found. And should the strange parasites turn out to be animalcules, their nativity will be a theme of hot debate—whether for ign or to be manor born? or, as DRYDEN says of higher things,

"Natives or denizens of blest abodes?"

We take little or no interest in these questions, and feel no itching curiosity on the subject with which they are connected. Yet we advise our fair readers not to act rashly. Gentlemen have not microscopic eyes. All the beauty of contour imparted by the appendage—appendix we might say—to the head, is as obvious as it was before these microscopic questions arose, and as long as a doubt remains on the subject of its interior occupation with animal life, the wearers are, by all law, entitled to the benefit of that doubt; in fact, they ought to be able to decide the question better than any body else, especially if the *gregarine*, the *pedicult*, or whatever else they are called, be as lively and prolific as represented. Do not drop the *chignons* on uncertainties.

STARVATION IN THE SOUTH.

When a people are known to be in a condition of want, and many individuals among them to be in danger of actual starvation, it is no time to make inquiries as to their previous history or even their present dispositions. The first thing to be done, by those who have the means, is to supply them with food to eat. If they have been bad, this goodness may lead them to a wholesome repentance; if they are bad now, it may instill into them a better mind. At any rate, they should be relieved by humane and charitable hands. Questions of locality, politics, past conduct, have no proper bearing on the case. It is a pressing appeal, inherent in the extensive and severe sufferings themselves, for a supply of food to the famishing, and it is addressed to the people of the North.

The testimony of General HOWARD, with his ample opportunities for obtaining reliable information, leaves no room for doubt as to the extent of suffering among the extremely poor, in large districts of the South ern States. Congress has acted on its information received through official channels, and proposed an appropriation which will, no doubt, be passed. But private and concerted efforts of charity are also needed. Nor should those be prevented by the heartless indifference of local politicians or even local authorities. These, it is well known, have been the pests of the Southern people always. But if the Legislature of Mississippi appropriate $20,000 for the defense of JEFF. DAVIS, and leave the widows and orphans of their own deluded or conscripted soldiers to starve, it is not a sufficient reason to exempt humane and patriotic people from their obligations. They may feel provoked by such demonstrations of character, but not be deterred by them from obeying the impulses of a better spirit.

As social efforts secure greater effectiveness, we respectfully urge the calling of a public meeting in the city, for the furtherance of the object, without distinction of sect or party.

FROM TWO STANDPOINTS.

Very aptly does our sharp cotemporary, the Richmond *Times*, illustrate the expectation, entertained in the South, that the loyal sentiment of the North would ere this have changed its direction, or abated the energy of its flow. He compares it to the wise hopes of the man who waited on the banks of a river, for the river to flow past, that he might go over with dry feet. But it is admitted that there are no hopeful signs of the desired change. The New Hampshire election, following closely on the heels of the military-reconstruction law, is interpreted as showing that "Congress still holds an impregnable position with the Northern masses."

On the other hand, illustrating the apothegm that drowning men catch at straws, some of our Democratic friends see signs of better days coming, in the fact that they are beaten no worse. The *Times* makes itself merry over such delusive hopes, and indulges in decided and deserved sarcasm on its sanguine Northern allies:

"In sweeping the Northern horizon in search of some cheering sign, we fail to discover anything less deceptive than *some mirage* like that which fills often, but we cannot answer anything but inhospitable coral reefs and treacherous sand banks. Our unfortunate Northern friends are made accordingly happy by the occasion of the election in some village, of a Democratic Coroner, Alderman or Mayor. This excessive thankfulness for small favors denotes the extreme poverty of the Conservative party. When a great paper like the New York *World* throws up its hat and devotes a leader to the triumph of 'our near Squire,' of the town of Tomahawk,' and tells us how he 'triumphantly bore aloft the banner of Conservatism by a majority of eight,' we are reminded of the cry of the fruit sellers of Constantinople—*In the name of the Prophet—figs.*"

THE New York *Tribune* concludes a plain talk with the New York Legislature thus: "Woe to you, Messieurs! if you try to humbug the people with empty mockery!" The warning is as good for Harrisburg as Albany. Woe to you, who pledged yourselves to a Free Railroad Law, but have turned against the people to serve the Monopoly.

A GOOD ANSWER.—The Provisional Legislature of North Carolina lately invited "all the States, North, South, East and West," to meet in national convention, for the purpose of proposing amendments to the Constitution of the United States. Governor Geary, in laying these resolutions before the Legislature of the State, reminded the North Carolina gentlemen that it is not the place of defeated traitors "to ask the loyal men of this country to meet them upon equal terms in convention to amend the Constitution they repudiated and attempted to destroy."

THE Philadelphia Methodist Episcopal Conference, in session at Harrisburg, have passed resolutions protesting against the proposed legislation legalizing the running of street cars in Philadelphia on the Sabbath; and, while "admitting the noble legislation of the late Congress," expressing profound regret at their sessions on the Sabbath, inasmuch as due diligence on their part would have avoided the plea of necessity."

A correspondent of the London *Times* recommends that Chinamen be enlisted in the English army, for the reasons that they are hardy, strong, good tempered and can stand heat and cold; that they make excellent soldiers and will eat anything, "from roast beef and plum pudding to rice and rats."

HARRISBURG.

THE BILL TO CONFER UNLIMITED POWERS ON THE PENNSYLVANIA R. R. COMPANY.

VETO OF GOVERNOR GEARY.

A GRAND DOCUMENT.

The Spirit of Monopoly Resisted.

The Rights of the People Defended.

Pledges Implicitly Obeyed.

The Bill Passed Over the Veto in the Senate.

The House Will Probably be True.

Special Dispatch to the Pittsburgh Commercial.

HARRISBURG, March 20, 1867.

The most important feature of to-day's proceedings was the following veto message from the Governor. The Senate can pass it over his veto, but not so the House. It has created more talk here than any thing of the kind this winter:

The Governor's Veto.

EXECUTIVE CHAMBER, HARRISBURG, March 20, 1867.

To the Senate and House of Representatives of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania:

GENTLEMEN:—The act entitled "an act to repeal an act entitled a further supplement to the act incorporating the Pennsylvania Railroad Company, authorizing an increase of capital stock, and to borrow money, approved the twenty-first day of March, one thousand eight hundred and sixty-six, to authorize the Pennsylvania Railroad Company to increase the capital stock, to issue bonds and to secure the same by mortgages," has received that careful consideration from me, which the importance of the subject seemed to demand, and notwithstanding the high respect I entertain for the two branches of the Legislature, and the extreme reluctance I have at any time to differ with them, I am induced by my convictions of duty to dissent from the propriety of the provisions of the bill, and to return it with my objection to the Senate in which it originated. Lest my opinions should be misconceived and misrepresented, I deem it due to myself to make a brief exposition of some of the enactments of the Legislature for the benefit of the Pennsylvania Railroad Company since the original act for its incorporation, approved April 13, 1846, so far as it relates to its capital stock.

GROWTH OF ITS CAPITAL STOCK.

By the first section of the act of incorporation, the capital stock was fixed at seven millions five hundred thousand dollars. By the act approved April 25th, 1850, the capital stock was increased to thirteen million dollars; May 4th, 1852, to fourteen million dollars; March 25th, 1853, to eighteen million dollars; May 2d, 1855, to twenty million dollars, and March 24, 1866, to thirty million dollars.

THE GOVERNOR'S SENSE OF DUTY.

With no other objects in my heart than to be serviceable to my country, to preserve and transmit unsullied the great principles and true spirit of our Government, and honestly to perform the trusts, duties, obligations and responsibilities committed to my charge, I proceed in the performance of these objects as I understand them. I am sincerely friendly to all the railroads and other companies that have aided in the development of the wealth and resources of the State, and while I cheerfully accord the same sentiment to public and private bodies, I can but regret that there should be any difference of opinion between us on the proposed enactment. And if in stating the objections I shall use expressions which may be regarded as too strong, my apology will, I trust, be found in the importance of the subject. I will state them frankly and with as much brevity as possible.

HIS REASONS.

Waiving objections to the first section of the bill under consideration, and waiving also an inquiry into the legal rights of the parties to the change of the fundamental law of a corporation, and bind the stockholders, I proceed to the consideration of the second, which confers powers of the most extraordinary character upon the directors of the company, "providing further facilities required by the increase of the business upon the road, and its connections, and for such other purposes connected with the business as the board of directors of said company may deem expedient, it shall be lawful for the said board of directors from time to time to issue additional shares of capital stock of said company to such amount as they may determine, and to apportion or dispose of the said shares in such manner and upon such terms as they may think best, and also for other or all of the said purposes to issue from time to time bonds of the said company, payable at such time as they may appoint."

One of the objects of the bill is for its further increase of the capital stock to retire its present indebtedness, which, according to the president and directors' last annual report to the company, amounts to twenty-seven millions dollars, and in this way, alone increasing the stock over one hundred and thirty per cent., and making it amount to over forty-six millions dollars.

Further to facilitate the power conferred to increase the business facilities upon its road and connections, and for such other purposes connected with its business as the directors may deem expedient, the bill authorizes, by this law, to what amount may not the directors extend the capital stock with such unlimited privileges? To what branches of business shall it be confined, and to what may it not extend, and where is the power to keep it within its legitimate functions as a railroad corporation by official oaths? Its tremendous power in irresponsible hands would be unlimited, and uncontrolable by any power short of revolution. It has already obtained in the various chartered privileges the preoccupancy of nearly every possible railroad route in Pennsylvania, with the privilege of branches and lateral roads in a large majority of the counties. And even by official reports, it has besides nearly eleven hundred miles of roads in Pennsylvania, its extensions to Marietta, Columbus and Cincinnati, Ohio, and to Chicago, Illinois, and besides large and, in some instances, controlling interests of stock in other railroad companies.

When such extraordinary powers are attempted to be granted for an unlimited time, I consider it contrary to the spirit and intention of our institutions. The present Directors, it may be assumed, are honorable gentlemen, for they assert they will be good in legislating for public interest, as well as for those of the Company. But time may effect a change and a new Board that may not recognize their duty to the State and the people, but, regardless of the people, and, forgetting their patriotism, might be found arrayed against the country when its services were required. It is not an impossible hypothesis that the power now sought to be extended to one thousand millions of dollars or even to an amount equal to the present national indebtedness. The whole control of the institution and of the issue of its bonds is necessarily lie in the hands of a few Directors, and not in those of the stockholders whose real interests should be represented.

The facilities with which any board of directors could perpetuate power in its own hands, might be an inviting temptation to monopolize or engross a sufficient number of shares of stock by purchase and proxies from non-residents given, or perhaps by fraud issued, to control the vote of the stockholders. The same board might, by fair means, thus be perpetuated, or by a decision of conflicting claims, or by accounts, with not much risk or responsibility on their part, might fraudulently control forever the affairs of the company.

In my opinion, the stockholders themselves, who, like the people in our own form of Government, are the true source of power, should closely examine into the responsibilities just intrusted to the legislation of that committee in the management of their own rights within the corporation. I am not aware of any instance where a company has been destroyed by the unfaithful action of its stockholders, but where too much power has been placed in the hands of directors and officers of reckless, speculative and adventurous character, the result of failures and disgrace are almost innumerable.

In all the bearings which can be taken upon this measure, in the event of the directory of the road passing into the hands of unfaithful and unscrupulous men, I can see nothing but an eventual monopolization of all the railroad privileges of this State, and perhaps of the whole North and West, and such other purposes connected with its business as the board of directors of said company may deem expedient. Left to their own judges, what might not be considered connected with their business? May not any and almost every branch of industry, manufacturing, agricultural and commercial be embodied? There is danger, too, that with such vast power, such ramification in the business departments of the country, and such unlimited capital, there might be found a temptation to bribery and sanctity of our elections, or what is equally as bad, that our Governors, legislators and other State authorities, might be affected with the blandishments of its power, and the lure of its wealth. They could make the rich richer, and the poor poorer. They could in a word make their organization a monopoly—a term which I never defined before, but which I consider will be "that which grinds the people between the upper and nether mill-stones," and its power would become so great that should any citizen, or even an officer of the Government, attempt to restrain it within its chartered limits, and proper franchises, he would be made to feel its withering influences. There is always danger in the creation of monopolies, for in proportion as they are increased, are the rights of the citizen abridged.

Had such a proposition been made in the early days of the Commonwealth, or even a quarter of a century ago, or when the company applied for its charter, it would have been rebuked by the people without distinction of party, upon its announcement. They are not inimical to the Pennsylvania Railroad Company, but I am positively its friend. I honor the management for the energy displayed in the share they have taken, with others, in the development of our State, and am determined that it and all other corporations shall be protected and defended in all their rights, privileges and franchises, already derived or that may hereafter be derived from legislative action. But when they ask for unlimited privileges, which can and may be used as engines to threaten, to intimidate and control the interests and perhaps the very action of the government by absorption of our internal improvements, in every branch of industry within this State, and the holding of many thousand of our people in a dependent condition, they must be refused. It is stated that corporations have had unlimited powers conferred upon them, and no ill has resulted, but as far as such cases have been cited, I find upon examination they were almost without exception conferred upon the stockholders on terms and limitations and not upon the directors, and if there were such, and they were known to be wrong in principle, it is one of the best and strongest reasons why the practice should be discontinued.

If it is right that the Legislature should confer unlimited powers upon one institution, it has a right to multiply such corporations at its pleasure, and if they should be managed by those whose predilections and interests would be similar, the control of the Commonwealth would be irretrievably committed to their hands, and the sovereignty would depart from the people. If it is deemed necessary for the proper management of the company, that all the railroads and other companies that have aided in the development of the wealth and resources of the State, and while I cheerfully accord the same sentiment to public and private bodies, I can but regret that there should be any difference of opinion between us on the proposed enactment. And if in stating the objections I shall use expressions which may be regarded as too strong, my apology will, I trust, be found in the importance of the subject. I will state them frankly and with as much brevity as possible.

HIS REASONS.

Waiving objections to the first section of the bill under consideration, and waiving also an inquiry into the legal rights of the parties to the change of the fundamental law of a corporation, and bind the stockholders, I proceed to the consideration of the second, which confers powers of the most extraordinary character upon the directors of the company, "providing further facilities required by the increase of the business upon the road, and its connections, and for such other purposes connected with the business as the board of directors of said company may deem expedient, it shall be lawful for the said board of directors from time to time to issue additional shares of capital stock of said company to such amount as they may determine, and to apportion or dispose of the said shares in such manner and upon such terms as they may think best, and also for other or all of the said purposes to issue from time to time bonds of the said company, payable at such time as they may appoint."

One of the objects of the bill is for its further increase of the capital stock to retire its present indebtedness, which, according to the president and directors' last annual report to the company, amounts to twenty-seven millions dollars, and in this way, alone increasing the stock over one hundred and thirty per cent., and making it amount to over forty-six millions dollars.

Further to facilitate the power conferred to increase the business facilities upon its road and connections, and for such other purposes connected with its business as the directors may deem expedient, the bill authorizes, by this law, to what amount may not the directors extend the capital stock with such unlimited privileges? To what branches of business shall it be confined, and to what may it not extend, and where is the power to keep it within its legitimate functions as a railroad corporation by official oaths? Its tremendous power in irresponsible hands would be unlimited, and uncontrolable by any power short of revolution. It has already obtained in the various chartered privileges the preoccupancy of nearly every possible railroad route in Pennsylvania, with the privilege of branches and lateral roads in a large majority of the counties. And even by official reports, it has besides nearly eleven hundred miles of roads in Pennsylvania, its extensions to Marietta, Columbus and Cincinnati, Ohio, and to Chicago, Illinois, and besides large and, in some instances, controlling interests of stock in other railroad companies.

When such extraordinary powers are attempted to be granted for an unlimited time, I consider it contrary to the spirit and intention of our institutions. The present Directors, it may be assumed, are honorable gentlemen, for they assert they will be good in legislating for public interest, as well as for those of the Company. But time may effect a change and a new Board that may not recognize their duty to the State and the people, but, regardless of the people, and, forgetting their patriotism, might be found arrayed against the country when its services were required. It is not an impossible hypothesis that the power now sought to be extended to one thousand millions of dollars or even to an amount equal to the present national indebtedness. The whole control of the institution and of the issue of its bonds necessarily lie in the hands of a few Directors, and not in those of the stockholders whose real interests should be represented.

The facilities with which any board of directors could perpetuate power in its own hands, might be an inviting temptation to monopolize or engross a sufficient number of shares of stock by purchase and proxies from non-residents given, or perhaps by fraud issued, to control the vote of the stockholders. The same board might, by fair means, thus be perpetuated, or by a decision of conflicting claims, or by accounts, with not much risk or responsibility on their part, might fraudulently control forever the affairs of the company.

In my opinion, the stockholders themselves, who, like the people in our own form of Government, are the true source of power, should closely examine into the responsibilities just intrusted to the legislation of that committee in the management of their own rights within the corporation. I am not aware of any instance where a company has been destroyed by the unfaithful action of its stockholders, but where too much power has been placed in the hands of directors and officers of reckless, speculative and adventurous character, the result of failures and disgrace are almost innumerable.

In all the bearings which can be taken upon this measure, in the event of the directory of the road passing into the hands of unfaithful and unscrupulous men, I can see nothing but an eventual monopolization of all the railroad privileges of this State, and perhaps of the whole North and West, and such other purposes connected with its business as the board of directors of said company may deem expedient. Left to their own judges, what might not be considered connected with their business? May not any and almost every branch of industry, manufacturing, agricultural and commercial be embodied? There is danger, too, that with such vast power, such ramification in the business departments of the country, and such unlimited capital, there might be found a temptation to bribery and sanctity of our elections, or what is equally as bad, that our Governors, legislators and other State authorities, might be affected with the blandishments of its power, and the lure of its wealth. They could make the rich richer, and the poor poorer. They could in a word make their organization a monopoly—a term which I never defined before, but which I consider will be "that which grinds the people between the upper and nether mill-stones," and its power would become so great that should any citizen, or even an officer of the Government, attempt to restrain it within its chartered limits, and proper franchises, he would be made to feel its withering influences. There is always danger in the creation of monopolies, for in proportion as they are increased, are the rights of the citizen abridged.

Had such a proposition been made in the early days of the Commonwealth, or even a quarter of a century ago, or when the company applied for its charter, it would have been rebuked by the people without distinction of party, upon its announcation. They are not inimical to the Pennsylvania Railroad Company, but I am positively its friend. I honor the management for the energy displayed in the share they have taken, with others, in the development of our State, and am determined that it and all other corporations shall be protected and defended in all their rights, privileges and franchises, already derived or that may hereafter be derived from legislative action. But when they ask for unlimited privileges, which can and may be used as engines to threaten, to intimidate and control the interests and perhaps the very action of the government by absorption of our internal improvements, in every branch of industry within this State, and the holding of many thousand of our people in a dependent condition, they must be refused. It is stated that corporations have had unlimited powers conferred upon them, and no ill has resulted, but as far as such cases have been cited, I find upon examination they were almost without exception conferred upon the stockholders on terms and limitations and not upon the directors, and if there were such, and they were known to be wrong in principle

The Pittsburgh Commercial: Saturday, March 23, 1867.

FORTIETH CONGRESS.

SENATE.

WASHINGTON, March 18, 1867.

Mr. JOHNSON presented the credentials of Philip J. Thomas, Senator elect from Maryland for six years ending March 4th, 1873, and asked that they be read and Mr. Thomas be sworn in.

The credentials were read, when Mr. HOWARD moved they be referred to the Judiciary Committee, stating in reply to a question from Mr. JOHNSON that it was a matter of common rumor that the gentleman, who was an applicant for admission to the Senate, sympathized with the rebellion to the late end to war.

Mr. JOHNSON did not know what rumors Mr. Howard referred to in relation to any particular act of the Senator elect which had given aid and comfort to the rebels. Perhaps he was referred to on the floor of the Senate on Saturday—that Mr. Thomas, while Secretary of the Treasury, had transferred a large amount of money from New York to Louisiana, that it might be there to assist the rebels. He [Johnson] had mentioned that to his colleague, and was authorized to say there was not a word of truth in it. The transfer of funds he made while Secretary of the Treasury in 1860, was of some $700,000 or $800,000 from New York to Washington, to meet the necessary disbursements of the treasury, and the whole of it was disbursed here. The only other sum transferred by his orders was $80,000 or $100,000 from Arkansas to New Orleans, where it was all expended before the rebellion commenced. He had already said, in what he had stated of the character of the honorable gentleman, that his word on that subject would convey with all who knew him the most absolute confidence. It is possible Mr. Thomas might have supposed the war could have been avoided. He was not alone in that opinion—it was entertained by thousands in the loyal States.

Mr. HOWARD said his objection to Mr. Thomas was the language attributed to him in speeches he made either in Louisiana or Maryland to have said that the Senate of the United States had done all in its power to dissolve the Union, by a war in which they failed, and were now attempting to destroy it by legislation. He [Howard] thought that a very unkind remark on the part of the applicant, if he made that remark. If a man could be called strictly loyal and attached to the Government of the United States, who held that the entire action of Congress in the prosecution of the late war to suppress the rebellion was an effort on the part of Congress to dissolve the Union, then he [Howard] had lost to a great degree his knowledge of the force of language.

Mr. JOHNSON knew nothing of the speech of Mr. Howard referred to, when he did know was, that in the letter accepting his nomination, there would be found nothing inconsistent with his duties to the country. The letter of Mr. Thomas was then sent to the clerk's desk and read.

Mr. NYE said it was claimed by the loyal men of Maryland that the election of the Legislature by which Mr. Thomas had been elected, was a palpable fraud upon the existing laws of Maryland. It was asserted by those Union men that they had a law standing on the statute book that prevented all persons sympathizing with the rebellion from voting at their elections. At the last election that law was disregarded, and persons of all kinds, of the worst rebels since the war, were permitted to vote, and by their votes a Legislature was elected that had sent the honorable gentleman here as Senator. When Mr. Thomas resigned from Mr. Buchanan's Cabinet, he wrote a letter stating his reason for so doing, that he was forced to leave from the fact that he could not concur in the act to reinforce Fort Sumter. He denied entirely the charge of secession.

Mr. TRUMBULL spoke at some length on the subject. He was opposed as much as any man could be to admitting traitors or enemies of the Government as Senators of the United States. He was in favor of receiving none but loyal men; but the objections to Mr. Thomas were sustained by nothing but rumors. No specific charge was made by any Senator against him. Mr. Thomas was certainly entitled to be sworn in, unless there was something to overcome the *prima facie* case, which was in his favor. If any Senator could bring a specific charge against the applicant, he would go as far as was necessary in making an investigation; but he understood Mr. Thomas was willing to take the oath required by law. If so, he ought to be admitted, unless there was a distinct charge made against him.

Mr. FESSENDEN said he was unwilling by his vote to establish so dangerous a precedent as to refuse to receive a Senator who came here with the proper credentials, and has been elected in pursuance of law, and against whom there was no specific charge from any respectable source.

Mr. SHERMAN read from the letter of Mr. Thomas, resigning his office as secretary of the Treasury of Mr. Buchanan's Cabinet, in which Mr. Thomas says: "The United States has no power to collect the custom duties at the port of Charleston." This was an indorsement of the right of secession. It at least placed the applicant in an embarrassing position, which required explanation; but he would all attempt to explain the speech of Mr. Thomas, to which reference had been made. In that speech the Senate was referred to as a body of men who always had been and were traitors to the Union.

Mr. MORTON said the quotations he had just heard was sufficient to justify inquiry. If what Mr. Thomas had said was not true, the converse of the proposition was true. If the Senate was a body of traitors Mr. Thomas was a loyal man; but if the Senate was not a body of traitors, then Mr. Thomas was a disloyal man.

Mr. SAULSBURY said the report of the speech of Mr. Thomas, from which this extract was read, appeared in the Baltimore *American*. He read the speech in the Baltimore *Gazette*, and there was no such language attributed to the speaker in that report.

After some further debate, the subject was, on motion of Mr. GRIMES, postponed till to-morrow.

Mr. HENDERSON called up the bill for the sale of certain stock held in trust for the Choctaw and Chickasaw Indians in their favor, which, after discussion, was postponed till to-morrow.

Mr. TRUMBULL called up the motion to reconsider the vote by which the bill introduced by him on the 11th of March was referred to the Committee of the Whole of the House, being a bill to confiscate all property used in the late rebellion, and confiscate and condemn all such property belonging to the belligerent enemy as is declared confiscated by the act of July 17th, 1862.

Mr. STEVENS proceeded to address the House at length in support of the bill. He had not got through more than a few passages of his speech when he was painfully called from the weak condition of his health, that he could not make himself heard by the House. He therefore requested Mr. McPherson, Clerk of the House, to read the remainder of the speech, which was done.

Mr. CHANDLER obtained the floor, but yielded to Mr. STEVENS, who moved that the bill be postponed until the second Tuesday in December next, which was agreed to.

The House went into the committee of the Whole, and proceeded to the consideration of Senate bill appropriating a million dollars for relief of the destitute in the South.

Mr. BUTLER proposed to offer a substitute for his substitute offered last week, by providing that the several district commanders under the act of the 2d of March, shall have power to assess such relief as they may deem necessary, ratably on all persons within their districts, owning more than one hundred and sixty acres of land, or who have an income of more than six hundred dollars per year. He wrote a letter stating his reason for so doing, that he was forced to leave from the fact that he could not concur in the act to reinforce Fort Sumter. He denied entirely the charge of secession.

Mr. TRUMBULL spoke at some length on the subject. He was opposed as much as any man could be to admitting traitors or enemies of the Government as Senators of the United States. He was in favor of receiving none but loyal men; but the objections to Mr. Thomas were sustained by nothing but rumors. No specific charge was made by any Senator against him. Mr. Thomas was certainly entitled to be sworn in, unless there was something to overcome the *prima facie* case, which was in his favor. If any Senator could bring a specific charge against the applicant, he would go as far as was necessary in making an investigation; but he understood Mr. Thomas was willing to take the oath required by law. If so, he ought to be admitted, unless there was a distinct charge made against him.

Mr. FESSENDEN said he was unwilling by his vote to establish so dangerous a precedent as to refuse to receive a Senator who came here with the proper credentials, and has been elected in pursuance of law, and against whom there was no specific charge from any respectable source.

Mr. SHERMAN read from the letter of Mr. Thomas, resigning his office as secretary of the Treasury of Mr. Buchanan's Cabinet, in which Mr. Thomas says: "The United States has no power to collect the custom duties at the port of Charleston." This was an indorsement of the right of secession. It at least placed the applicant in an embarrassing position, which required explanation; but he would all attempt to explain the speech of Mr. Thomas, to which reference had been made. In that speech the Senate was referred to as a body of men who always had been and were traitors to the Union.

Mr. MORTON said the quotations he had just heard was sufficient to justify inquiry. If what Mr. Thomas had said was not true, the converse of the proposition was true. If the Senate was a body of traitors Mr. Thomas was a loyal man; but if the Senate was not a body of traitors, then Mr. Thomas was a disloyal man.

Mr. SAULSBURY said the report of the speech of Mr. Thomas, from which this extract was read, appeared in the Baltimore *American*. He read the speech in the Baltimore *Gazette*, and there was no such language attributed to the speaker in that report.

After some further debate, the subject was, on motion of Mr. GRIMES, postponed till to-morrow.

Mr. HENDERSON called up the bill for the sale of certain stock held in trust for the Choctaw and Chickasaw Indians in their favor, which, after discussion, was postponed till to-morrow.

Mr. TRUMBULL called up the motion to reconsider the vote by which the bill introduced by him on the 11th of March was referred to the Committee of the Whole of the House, being a bill to confiscate all property used in the late rebellion, and confiscate and condemn all such property belonging to the belligerent enemy as is declared confiscated by the act of July 17th, 1862.

Mr. STEVENS proceeded to address the House at length in support of the bill. He had not got through more than a few passages of his speech when he was painfully called from the weak condition of his health, that he could not make himself heard by the House. He therefore requested Mr. McPherson, Clerk of the House, to read the remainder of the speech, which was done.

Mr. CHANDLER obtained the floor, but yielded to Mr. STEVENS, who moved that the bill be postponed until the second Tuesday in December next, which was agreed to.

The House went into the committee of the Whole, and proceeded to the consideration of Senate bill appropriating a million dollars for relief of the destitute in the South.

Mr. BUTLER proposed to offer a substitute for his substitute offered last week, by providing that the several district commanders under the act of the 2d of March, shall have power to assess such relief as they may deem necessary, ratably on all persons within their districts, owning more than one hundred and sixty acres of land, or who have an income of more than six hundred dollars per year. He wrote a letter stating his reason for so doing, that he was forced to leave from the fact that he could not concur in the act to reinforce Fort Sumter. He denied entirely the charge of secession.

Mr. TRUMBULL, the Conference Committee on the supplemental reconstruction bill, made a report. The Conference Committee agreed to the Senate proposition that the State Constitution shall be ratified by a majority of votes cast, provided that it shall appear that a majority of the registered voters voted on the question of ratification. A clause is added that Congress must be satisfied that all voters in such election were permitted to vote freely and fairly, without fear or interruption, and that the Constitution meets the approval of the majority of the qualified voters. The report was agreed to, and the Senate were again closed.

After the transaction of further executive business, the Senate adjourned.

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES.

Mr. BURR objected, but the rules were suspended, and under the operation of the previous question, the resolution was adopted, 103 to 23.

Mr. JUDD, on leave, introduced a bill to exempt wooden ladders from tax, which, after a short discussion, passed.

The SPEAKER laid before the House the disagreement of Senate to the House amendment to the supplemental reconstruction bill. The House refused, 62 to 77, to recede, and, on motion of Mr. WILSON, of Iowa, insisted and asked for a Committee of Conference.

The SPEAKER then appointed Messrs. Wilson, of Iowa, Boutwell and Marshall, the committee on part of the House.

Mr. STEVENS

Weekly Commercial.

SATURDAY, MARCH 23, 1867.

TERMS:

One copy, per year.....$2 00
In clubs of twenty, per year.....1 50
One copy gratis to the getter-up of the club.

☞ *The* DAILY COMMERCIAL *is circulated in all parts of the State, in Western Virginia, Ohio and the adjacent States, and without comparison, is the best advertising medium in Pittsburgh.*

☞ THE COMMERCIAL *gives the only complete reports of the local markets published, and is accepted as authority by dealers at home and in all the principal cities.*

☞ THE COMMERCIAL *is sold everywhere in the cars; and by News Dealers at all the principal points. Price five cents a copy.*

☞ THE COMMERCIAL *solicits local correspondence, giving events of interest, concisely written; also, of improvements, inventions and personal movements.*

☞ THE COMMERCIAL *is the only paper in Pittsburgh that uses the telegraph freely. Its special dispatches are from correspondents selected for their intelligence and reliability.*

SPEAKER HALL AND THE SO-CALLED FREE RAILROAD BILL.

In the columns of the COMMERCIAL to-day we give the remarks of the Hon. L. W. HALL "against the amendments made to the Free Railroad bill by the Railroad Committee of the Senate."

If we felt that the strictures we have passed on these amendments and on the course of Speaker HALL, in abandoning his opposition and finally voting for the bill and the amendments, required support or defense, this speech would be all we could desire. We were prompt to thank Speaker HALL for his opposition to the amendments, and for this speech, which is forcible and to the point, and we thank him now; but when he turned and voted for the bill, amendments and all, we did not, as we do not now, hesitate to say that he committed a blunder—nay more, was guilty of faithlessness to the very principle for which he had spoken so conclusively. We will not stop to inquire into his motives. Concede that they were good—that he spoke not as Solicitor of the Central Road, but as Representative of the people; when he came to vote finally on the bill, unpurged of all the enormities which he so eloquently portrayed, it must be conceded that he voted as Solicitor and not as Representative.

Speaker HALL says the "people of Pennsylvania are determined to have a Free Railroad Law;" but "do not want a railroad law so loaded down and clog ged with restrictions that it will be impracticable even to construct a railroad under it." Speaker HALL, when he uttered these words, was opposing the bill *because, with the proposed amendments, it would be such a law as he described*—"*a law impracticable even to construct a railroad under.*" AND YET IN THE END HE VOTED FOR THE BILL PRECISELY IN THIS SHAPE!

It is for this we condemn him. The bill, according to his own showing, was a disabling act, and nothing else. What sort of a Free Railroad man does he expect to pass for, after turning round and going for such an act? He says it was the best he could get. Is it not the very worst that could be made? For, what could be worse than a disabling act? It would have been simple duty and faithfulness to the Free Principle to vote to the end against the disabling act.

According to his showing the bill should have been entitled "*A bill to prevent the construction of railroads.*" That it did not read so made no difference, after it had been manipulated by the Pennsylvania Company, so as to make it so in fact. Did he vote for the title or for the substance of the bill?

Does Speaker HALL dream anybody is so obtuse as not to perceive the attitude in which he stands? What the Pennsylvania Railroad Company wanted was a disabling act; and according to his own showing, it was precisely that for which Speaker HALL voted. Nor has he attempted to give a reason for it. The people wanted an enabling act—a genuine Free Railroad Law. The bill, with the amendments, was not such a law, but a law, in the Speaker's own expressive language, "*that would be practically inoperative*" *and a* "*nullity.*" And yet, standing recorded for this "nullity," he claims to be a Free Railroad advocate still, and complains, when arraigned for deserting the Free Principle! Better would it have been for him had he opposed the Free Principle openly from the start, and been guilty of no false pretenses. What more could the most determined opponents of the Free Principle desire of Speaker HALL, or of any one, than his vote for this "practically inoperative" bill—this "nullity?" They desired of him just that vote, and they got it. Does he point to the fact that he opposed the amendments which made it "practically inoperative"—"a nullity?" If he does, he but convicts himself. Failing to defeat the amendments, his only duty was to vote against the bill to the end. Precisely to the extent the arguments of the Speaker were conclusive against the amendments, are they conclusive against his subsequent vote for the bill with the amendments inserted. If he does not comprehend this, then he has yet to take the first lesson in logic and is more to be pitied than condemned. In this instance the specious and utterly unsound plea of taking the best you can get, had a peculiarly harmful and aggravating tendency; and not a few believe it was so intended. The bill had yet to go to the House and thence to the Governor. It practically conceded the battle in the House and forestalled the action of the Governor, who has a distinct and independent duty to perform, and the people expect he will perform it on the principle of right and wrong. If the bill is right, and if it goes for the redemption of the pledges, he in common with the Speaker and every Republican Senator and Member gave to the people, he should sign it. If t is wrong and falsifies those pledges, then he should promptly veto it, as he doubtless will should it reach him. Truly, a new theory is it in legislation, that when fatal amendments are inserted in a bill, its friends, to prove their sincerity, have only to turn round and go for it. Such a thing may answer for the Solicitor, but not for the Representative.

It would be almost impossible to add anything to the reasons given by Speaker HALL in his speech, why the bill should not go upon the statute book. He examines it in detail, and his elucidation of what would be its practical working if passed into a law, furnishes as complete reasons why the bill should not pass the House, and why, if it does, Governor GEARY should withhold his approval, as could be framed. He shows the bill to be "unconstitutional" as well as "impracticable"—in a single word, as complete and aggravating a denial of the Free Principle as could be—a bill threatening lasting injury to the best interests of the State—one against which a thousand perfect reasons might be urged, while not one can be given for it.

And yet it was precisely for such a bill Speaker HALL voted, as "the best that could be got!" It was the Representative that spoke; but it was the Solicitor that voted. Read the speech and see if we are not right. The wonder is the publication of it was ever consented to. It will be found on the first page.

THE CONNELLSVILLE BILL.

The defeat of the Connellsville bill in the House, of course occasions no surprise. The vote in its favor was larger than we had reason to expect, considering that it was only the people on one side and the Pennsylvania Railroad Company on the other. That the bill should have failed by only eleven votes, is encouraging. When the Bogus Free Railroad Bill comes to a vote in the House, we are enco raged to hope it will fail; for strong as was the Connellsville bill, the Free Principle is stronger still. Both parties are pledged to it.

WHY the Legislature should refuse to pass a Free Railroad Law after the people—both parties being for it—have proclaimed for it, nobody in the Legislature has attempted to give a reason. Why the bogus concern is "the best that can be obtained" the people are curious to know. Who is it that prevents the Representatives from doing as they know they should, and as their constituents instructed them? What power is it that is greater than the people and the Legislature? What is the reason nothing better than the bogus bill—worse than which there could not well be—can be obtained? What hinders the Legislature from doing as it would? What influence is it that transforms it into a machine and makes men do that which they know is wrong?

We have looked at the debates, so far as there has been an opportunity, but we have found no answer to these questions—no explanantion—no attempt to frame an excuse even.

SHALL PENNSYLVANIA PARTICIPATE IN THE TRADE OF THE WEST?

A correspondent of the New York *Tribune* writes from the West as follows:

"Chicago is making immense struggles to secure connection and trade with Omaha, Denver, Salt Lake and San Francisco by the Pacific Railroad. The Michigan Southern Railroad has built a depot for this purpose, the most extensive on this continent. There is no subject of greater importance to New York City than this. The interests of New York and Chicago are closely united. The struggle for the vast trade of the other side of America lies between two lines—one extending from Kansas City to Saint Louis in the centre of the South and terminating at Baltimore and Philadelphia; the other extending from Chicago thence to Toledo and Detroit and terminating at New York and Boston. The interest at stake exceeds $1,000,000,000."

What Chicago is struggling to obtain the Legislature of Pennsylvania is doing its best to drive away. All of the trade of the West that Philadelphia will get will be in spite of these efforts, to which she contributes. At the present time we have but one track to compete for the trade of the West. It is owned by a Monopoly which runs the Legislature as it does its own road. By this means the prospect of Pennsylvania participating in the internal commerce of the country, beyond what she now does, is extremely small. With an independent Legislature or a Free Railroad Law, within the next year hundreds of miles of new roads would be open or projected, and our just share of the trade of the Empire of the West would be secured. As it is, Pennsylvania lies inert, stretched across the internal commerce of the country, indifferent to the great problem which other States are putting forth all their energies to solve. Philadelphia has the same interest Chicago has in this; problem and yet she does nothing. Seemingly she is willing to see Baltimore, even, outstrip her, as she is fast doing in grasping the trade of the West. With friendly Legislation—with a Free Railroad law—new routes through the State, to say nothing of local roads, would be opened and there would be business enough for them all. But with the State, and every part of it, bound over to the Pennsylvania Company, Pennsylvania through her legislature, proclaims to the West that its millions of trade must expect from her no new facilities for reaching a market—that it must seek other routes and other markets.

STAND BY THE FREE RAILROAD PRINCIPLE.

Speaker HALL claims to be, and is laboring to make it so appear, the friend of a genuine Free Railroad Law—such a Law as the so-called Free Bill, which passed the Senate last week, is not. If he knows anything of the tone of public sentiment, he knows that the Bill as it stands does not suit the people, and *that they would prefer no law to the present bill.* Now if he and the rest who took the bill because it was the best they could get—when in fact it was the worst they could have—really want to serve the people and the Free Principle, when the bill reaches Governor GEARY, let them ask him to veto it.

The Senators who, under the lead of Solicitor HALL, voted for the bill on the plea that it was the best they could secure, must be convinced that it would be vastly better to have such a law off than on the statute book. Nothing is clearer than that the direct operation of it will be what the amendments were intended to make it, a bar to the construction of Railroads, and the virtual defeat of the Free Principle. The plea that its defects can be remedied, is a flimsy fallacy. Why place on the statute book a law that will prevent the construction of Railroads, if you really want a Free Law? If it must be removed, or amended so as to effect the purpose aimed at, why pass it at all? The practical effect of passing it under the specious and totally unsound plea of getting the best you can, will be to give the Pennsylvania Railroad Company the entire field until it is repealed or amended, —and this, we conclude, is the very thing at the bottom of the business. The Solicitor has proved himself a faithful agent and done his work well.

The case is simply this: the bill suits nobody but the Pennsylvania Railroad Company, from whom it proceeded. The friends of the Free Principle—the people—prefer by a great deal no law at all to this. However sincere Representatives were in voting for the bill, they manifestly secured the thanks of nobody but the Monopoly. They may yet retrieve the mistake, and do the State an immense service, by asking Governor GEARY to veto the bill. If it becomes a law, the State will be bound over until it is repealed or changed to what it originally was—a genuine Free Railroad Bill. Till then it will be abundantly manifest that what was said to be the best that could be obtained, is the very worst that could have been inflicted on the State.

We have assumed that the bill will reach Governor GEARY, to whom the people look with confidence for protection. We may be too fast. It has yet to pass the ordeal of the House. We trust the false idea of taking it because it is the best that can be had will not prevail there. Let the members who are for the Free Principle consult their constituents, and they will find that they prefer no law to this; that its defeat, under the circumstances, will be regarded by them a victory and that nobody will mourn over its loss but the Monopoly. We say, therefore, let the friends of the Free Principle in the House stand firm. If they cannot vote the bill down, let them carry the fight to the Executive Chamber, where they will find a man who will redeem the pledges on which he was elected.

THE MILITARY COMMANDERS.

The District commanders selected by the President under the Military Bill for the government of the South, are all right. To the First District, consisting of Virginia, General SCHOFIELD; to the Second District including North Carolina and South Carolina, General SICKLES; to the Third District, including Georgia, Florida and Alabama, General THOMAS; to the Forth District, including Arkansas and Mississippi, General ORD; and to the Fifth District, including Louisiana and Texas, General SHERIDAN.

Though tardy in making these selections, he should have the credit of having made good ones. General GRANT has issued the necessary order and the officers named have by this time entered on the practical administration of the Reconstruction Law.

WRITING from Harrisburg, Colonel MCCLURE says:

"The Senate passed the Free Railroad law last week in the freest sort of a manner—only four votes against it. It is a good law; a little stringent, but wholesome for a start. If it proves a barrier to progress as it is tried, it can be liberalized."

So says the Pennsylvania Railroad Company, its solicitors and employees in general, but so say not they who want to see competition in the building and running of railroads. There is under the sun nothing better made out than that the so-called free bill was amended by the managers of the Central Road so as to prevent the building of more roads, and to subserve their objects in general. It is creditable neither to a man's discernment nor sincerity to pretend otherwise.

THE Harrisburg *Telegraph*, laboring in the interest of the monopoly, is doing its best to make it appear that the Bogus Free Railroad Law was passed by the votes of such Senators as BILLINGFELT, WHITE, BROWN, of Mercer, TAYLOR and others, who voted for it under the mistaken idea that it was best to do so, because it was "the best they could get." The fact is, the bill would have passed, and did pass the Senate without their votes. The Central had votes enough any way, and only wanted the votes of these Senators to divide the responsibility of killing the Free Principle. To make it appear that the Senators named were the means of passing the bogus bill, is the labor of the Central managers now. It is, perhaps, what might have been expected, but it is not what in fairness is due. The *Telegraph* may persist in this outrage; and the more it does it, will those who consented to follow the Solicitor into the snare realize the gravity of the mistake in not voting square, and at all times against the bill.

FREE EAILROAD LAW WITH A VENGEANCE.

The second section of the Bogus Free Railroad Law—which *does not* apply to the Pennsylvania Railroad Company—provides that—

"The stockholders of all corporations organized under this act, shall be individually liable to the amount of their stock for the payment of wages of labor, materials furnished, right of way, property used and damages incurred, in the construction, maintenance and operation of their respective railways, that may be due and unpaid by the company for a period not exceeding six months; *Provided*, that demand for the same shall be made upon any stockholder or stockholders within the six months before mentioned."

It is not here nor anywhere in the act provided that creditors shall exhaust their remedy against the company before proceeding to collect from a stockholder; but however solvent and able to pay the Company may be, they may make demand of any stockholder and proceed to harrass and collect the amount due from him. It will be observed also that the stockholder is thus held for any claim, of whatever nature, and at all times; and all that the creditor need do is to select his man and make him pay. We think it extremely doubtful if any one of the gentlemen in the Legislature who voted for the bill would consent to hold a dollar's worth of stock under such a law. The section was placed in the bill at the instance of the managers of the Pennsylvania Railroad Company, for what purpose no one can seriously doubt.

Is there a man alive, who supposes that corporation would not resist to the last the application of the law to them? It is because the law, with this section in it, would operate to prevent the construction of railroads, we say is in no sense a Free Railroad Law, but the reverse. So long as such an enactment remaind in force, the monopoly would be sure that competing enterprises would not be attempted. The power that was able to pass the law would be sufficient to prevent its repeal or amendment—except by an uprising of the people to rid themselves of the intolerable bondage.

But this is not the only section that is an outrage on the people and a denial of the Free Principle. Section Ten, after reciting how money may be borrowed, goes on to say:

"And in the event of a failure on the part of the directors to observe and keep in faith all and singular *the requirements of this act*, they shall be held individually liable in their persons and estates for any violation of law or of losses incurred by, and that all statutes of this Commonwealth limiting the liabilities of parties offending, or right of action against them in actions of law, or in equity, be and the same are hereby repealed."

This, to say the least, is extremely "snaky." Did it read "the requirements of *this section*," it would have been clear enough that it was meant the Directors were to be held liable for the non-performance or misperformance of those things whereof the section treated, and of their official duty generally. While the Courts might construe the language so to mean, there is doubt enough about it to accomplish the object intended by the Solicitor or other Pennsylvania Railroad Manager who drew it, to wit: the rendering of the law totally in operative except in preventing capitalists engaging in the building of other Railroads in Pennsylvania.

It is so transparent that these and the other amendments were inserted in the law by the Pennsylvania Company solely to defeat the Free Principle, while the name would be retained, that any discussion on the subject is wholly useless. The managers of that company, when they speak candidly, admit this, and were the law made applicable to them, they would oppose it to the end.

THE retirement of the French from Mexico has had the effect of betraying, or perhaps of producing, a bad state of feeling between the Mexicans and them. The natives show a decided antipathy to the foreigners, not confining their offensive demonstrations to the French, but extending them to all outsiders, not excepting their dear friends from the United States. They say they have driven out the French and are able to drive out all other intruders—Americans as well as the rest. They seem to put on as many airs as some of our Southern brethren do, and, it must be confessed, with rather more reason. But the pompous boasting and swelling in either case resembles less the proud bearing of the lion as he clears the desert with the sweep of his royal eye, than the pole-cat equally *exclusive* by the sweep of its odorous tail.

THE Harrisburg *Telegraph* has "authority" for saying that Senator CAMERON did not go to Washington "to barter in JOHNSON'S patronage;" that "he will vote for nominations only when he is convinced they will serve the highest public interests, but in no case will Senator CAMERON urge the application of any man for appointment to office in the gift of the President."

EX-GOVERNOR CURTIN sailed from New York for Havre on Saturday, intending to be absent, for the benefit of his health, four months. His family, in his absence, will reside in Philadelphia.

Aid for the Destitute Southerners,

A Response to the General Appeal.

A correspondent has sent us the following communication, enclosing five dollars. The appeal from the starving South for aid is an urgent one, and commends itself to the charitable and humane. All money sent to this office will be transmitted to the Southern Famine Relief Committee of New York. "Charity's" suggestion relative to a public meeting is commended:

To the Editor of the Commercial:

DEAR SIR: A number of gentlemen of my acquaintance are willing to subscribe towards relieving the widows and orphans of the South who are represented as in a destitute condition. Would it not be well to call a meeting and start a subscription? Enclosed is my contribution to start the matter. Please call attention at once to this important subject.

CHARITY.

Consul to Copenhagen.

The selection by the President of Charles Stewart to be Consul at Copenhagen, is one that reflects credit on the appointing power. Mr. Stewart is the son of the venerable Andrew Stewart, of Uniontown, Fayette County, in this State, whom we congratulate on this event. During the war he was Paymaster in the Navy, and whether on ship or shore followed the Union flag and defended the principles of the Republican party. As a piece of personal history, we will say, the part he took in the great Soldiers' and Sailors' Convention in Pittsburgh last fall cost him his commission. But for that act we are inclined to excuse the appointing power for the handsome manner it has treated Mr. Stewart in conferring upon him his new appointment. Mr. Stewart will be a worthy representative of our country at his new post of duty—the only Pennsylvanian who holds a similar position. We understand he will shortly leave with the view of entering on its duties.

THE story of the frightful things generated in the "waterfalls" by the warmth of the head, is pronounced nine parts hoax, the other part not much better, nevertheless destructive to the chignon trade. The idea was borrowed from England, where some doubt still remains whether there may not be something in it more than purchasers and wearers bargain for.

A CORRESPONDENT of the *Herald* avers that the Democrats are confident of carrying Connecticut by three thousand and of beating Barnum by seven hundred. While this is not so clear, it is unquestionably true that the contest will be a close one. The Republicans expect to carry the State.

EX-GOV. WM. F. JOHNSTON has been appointed principal deputy Collector of United States Internal Revenue, in the First Congressional District.

COLLECTOR OF TWENTY-THIRD DISTRICT.—The President has nominated Henry C. Whitten to be Collector of the Twenty-third District of Pennsylvania.

GENERAL INTELLIGENCE.

The New York *Shipping List* says: Financial circles betray a feeling of nervousness, consequent upon the disposition of Congress to push the impeachment question to an issue. The contraction of five millions of greenback currency, too, as shown in the March statement of the public debt, has a tendency to render capitalists a little more cautious.

The United States Marshal for the district of Wisconsin sold at public auction, on Saturday last, the railroad formerly known as the eastern division of the La Crosse and Milwaukee Railroad for the sum of $100,920. It was bid off by the Milwaukee and St. Paul Railway Company. The sale was made subject to liens and incumbrances, amounting to $2,893,105 and interest.

A young lady in the New Orleans Museum who goes into the lion's den, put to much pomatum on her hair. The unctuous odor excited the lion's appetite, and when she stooped to let him jump over her, according to the programme, the sagacious beast bit off her waterfall.

In New York the leaders of the Fenian organizations are profiting by the recent news from Europe to renew their cause, and raise money for the "men in the gap." Subscriptions are once more flowing into their coffers from individuals and from trade societies.

The Vigilance Committees acting for the citizens of Mansfield, Ohio, continue their operations against the thieves and bad characters who infest that place. A meeting of citizens to sustain the Committee has been held, and the inhabitants of Bellville have gone at it. If nothing happens Mansfield is likely to gain an undesirable notoriety.

At St. Albans, Vermont, a liquor seller, brought before the courts, urged in defense that he had so reduced his whisky by water that a man could not get drunk on it. He came near proving his case, but unfortunately for him, in an unguarded moment he had sold one glass of unadulterated whisky, and a fine was the consequence.

A duchess in Paris, whose infant had, when newly born, been sent into the country to nurse, recently sent for the boy, when he was four years old. Walking out with the little fellow she was amazed to hear him exclaim: "There, mamma, is a good house," and running from her into the courtyard he took off his cap and began to sing. The unfortunate little duke had been trained by his nurse to beg as a ballad singer.

Says a Paris letter: I regret to say that the Parisians are doing all in their power to deter strangers from coming among them by their extortions. A few compliances with their exorbitant demands, such as 1,800 or 2,000 francs per month for an apartment, which I heard recently to have been given, have created such exaggerated expectations as to lodgings, white provisions of every kind are being forced up to famine prices without the slightest necessity, or any legitimate excuse.

The slaughter among dogs in Chicago for the past few days has been fearful. Up to Wednesday morning about 1,500 dog carcasses had been carried out of town and buried, and it is estimated that full 600 more are lying around the streets.

One thousand French deserted on the march of the army from the capital to Puebla, a distance of but thirty leagues. As most of these men joined the liberals, a fine opportunity will now be presented for the non-performance of their contract, and of their official duty generally. While the Courts might construe the language so to mean, there is doubt enough about it to accomplish the object intended by the Solicitor or other Pennsylvania Railroad Manager who drew it, to wit: the rendering of the law totally in operative except in preventing capitalists engaging in the building of other Railroads in Pennsylvania.

A letter from Mexico says: The hatred which the Mexican entertains for the French has extended to all foreigners alike, Americans as well as others. The Mexican arrogates to himself that he has driven away the French and can drive away all other people who dare invade them. Both parties here pay little attention to this particular. They desire no intervention.

The whisky makers can more easily cheat the Government of its taxes by using molasses instead of corn or wheat in their manufactures, and they are buying it up extensively in all the large markets. The sales of molasses at New York have averaged 1,000 hogsheads a day for more than a week.

Mr. Whitworth, of the Whitworth gun, writes to the London *Times* that a long course of experiments and the collection of much valuable material for the penetration of different substances has convinced him that the flat fronted form was superior to all others for the penetration of armor-plating at various angles, and that it was the only form that would penetrate at right angles to the surface. Shot should be made of sound, well tempered steel.

An uncommonly powerful locomotive is now in process of construction at the works of the Camden and Amboy Company, at Bordentown. It is a ten-wheel engine, having six drivers, four and a half feet in diameter. Diameter of cylinder, seventeen inches; length of stroke, twenty-four inches. The engine will be completed in a short time, and will be used in drawing coal trains through from Easton to South Amboy. It is thought that she will have sufficient power to draw a train of one hundred cars, loaded with coal.

It is reported that a diamond of immense value was found in Tippecanoe river, near Monticello, Indiana, last week. The value of the precious stone was estimated at $250,000. Subsequent investigation reduced it to $25,000, and a later and more scientific observation places the figures at $16,000.

A good deal of excitement is being manifested in the Territories of Colorado and Dakotah, in reference to the contemplated explorations of the Black Hills, in which it is thought there are rich deposits of gold. A party of men were prospecting among the Black Hills last summer, but were driven off by Indians. They, however, discovered a vast bed of iron ore, samples of which they took to Denver. A large exploring party is about to start for the Black Hills, prepared to pass the summer in prospecting.

The Memphis city government lately advertised for proposals for laying the Nicholson pavement. A Chicago firm made the lowest bid, but the Aldermen declare that no Yankee shall have the contract.

An English journal says: "At no time since the Russian war, has the establishment of Woolwich Arsenal been more actively engaged than at present, notwithstanding the numerous new resources of improved machinery and mechanism which have advanced with the time."

Says a New York letter: Novelty upon novelty is announced at the Ritualist Church of St. Albans. The newest is a penitential service, every Wednesday evening, when the lights are extinguished on the altar, the *miserere* sung, and various other picturesque peculiarities are indulged in.

A New England paper discussing etiquette in church, well says, that it would be more becoming for persons sitting at the head of a pew to move along and give seats to ladies than to disturb the audience by filing out and filing back.

Table Rock, Niagara Falls, has been blown away by order of the town of Clifton. Unnumbered newspaper men will thank the citizens of Clifton for finally removing this unfortunate "Rock." It has been falling away and changing its contour for a dozen years or more, every change compelling a fresh description.

The opening of the Chicago tunnel is to be celebrated on St. Patrick's Day, on which account it has been suggested it should be called "ST. PATRICK'S TUNNEL." With a little old eye added, this would do.

We hear that a Radical daily, with ample capital, will soon start in Louisville, Ky. The *Colored Kentuckian*, managed by three colored men, J. P. Sampson, P. H. Murray and Rev. Dr. Butler, has started here as a weekly. The Lexington *Statesman* and Maysville *Republican*, new Radical dailies, promise very well.

The Special Claims Commission of the War Department, composed of Gens. Canby, Holt and Hunter, have stricken from the list, nearly two hundred claims as being barred by the act ordering no settlement of any claims for damages arising from the operations of armies within the limits of the rebel States.

Hog cholera prevails to a considerable extent in various parts of Illinois. A letter from St. Clair county says that "although the cholera is not as fatal as it was two years ago, yet it causes serious loss. There is hardly a farmer in this section but what loses from one to five a day from this cause. The cholera also prevails among the chickens and turkeys."

Chicago is still maintaining its reputation for the criminal facility with which divorces are obtained. Eight cases were disposed of on the 7th. Six decrees of divorce were obtained; one was dismissed and one referred to a Master in Chancery for further hearing. The "social evil" is on the increase in Chicago in more forms than one. This and the liquor traffic, which is carried on by 2,000 saloons, are producing great and wide-spread demoralization.

One of the circus riders at Robinson's circus, in Chicago, on a wager, rode one of the circus horses from the bottom to the top of the circular stairs leading to the dome on the Court House. The dome is one hundred feet from the landing. The stairs are not more than four feet wide, and the banisters not more than three feet high. The daring performance attracted a large crowd.

Two brothers, named Jacob and Johnston Barnock, men of high standing and fine education, residing at Larcoxie, Mo., between whom a bitter feud had existed for a long time, met a few days since near the residence of the latter, both heavily armed, when a desperate fight ensued, lasting for several minutes. Both finally fell to the ground from sheer exhaustion. Some neighbors passing along shortly after found the elder brother, Jacob, dead, and the younger so badly mangled that he cannot survive.

It is not "a mere newspaper story" that the outcry about the crawling chignous is spoiling that particular branch of business in New York. The Broadway shops that deal in them have not had a customer for days, and in more than one case orders have been issued to stop the manufacture of them. The importation of the raw material also will, probably, exhibit a sudden falling off.

It is stated that Gen. Fremont has bought, and will finish and equip at once, the Memphis and Little Rock road. From Memphis, through Arkansas and Texas to El Paso, the whole line will be rapidly finished.

FORTIETH CONGRESS.

WASHINGTON, March 14, 1867.

SENATE.

Mr. RAMSAY, from the Committee on Post-offices and Post Roads, reported back favorably the bill authorizing the Atlantic Telegraph Company of New York to make soundings and lay a cable on the American coast, to connect with the French coast. Also, a bill to declare certain bridges across the Missouri river public highways.

House bill to suspend the law of last session for the relief of the heirs of John Bouligny, was referred to the Committee on Private Land Claims.

Mr. FESSENDEN from the Committee on Public Buildings and Grounds, reported a bill to provide in part for the extension of the Capitol grounds. It appropriates $20,000 for the purpose of grading and filling up the grounds. Passed.

Mr. VAN WINKLE introduced a resolution instructing the Committee on Pensions to inquire as to the expediency of putting pensions granted by special act of Congress on the same footing as those granted under the general laws. Adopted.

Mr. POMEROY introduced a bill authorizing the Postmaster General to contract with the Commercial Navigation Company for the weekly transportation of European and Foreign Mails between New York and Bremen, touching at Southampton, and authorizing the guarantee on behalf of the United States of bonds of said company, to an amount not exceeding $3,500,000; the Company to fit out within one year, seven first-class ships for the service named; the bonds to be issued upon completion of the same, but not to a greater amount than 50 per cent. of the value of each respectively; the compensation of the company for the service rendered, not to exceed in amount the whole sum received for postage on letters, newspapers, etc., transported. Referred to the Committee on Postoffices.

Mr. FESSENDEN reported, from the Committee on Public Buildings and Grounds, adversely on the joint resolution of Mr. Wilson, prohibiting the use of liquor in the capitol, but presented an amendment to the joint resolution providing that no liquor be offered for sale or kept within the capitol or any room connected therewith, or on the public grounds adjacent; and the sergeants-at-arms of the two Houses shall enforce the provisions, and an officer or employee who shall violate the rule shall be dismissed from office.

Mr. CONNESS introduced a bill granting lands to aid in the construction of a canal from some point in Tenhama or Colusa county, to a point on the Sacramento river, in Solona county, California. Referred to the Committee on Public Lands.

Mr. RAMSEY introduced a bill authorizing the Southern Pacific Railroad Company to extend the road westward through the Territories of New Mexico and Arizona to the eastern boundary line of California, and with the consent of the State, through the same to the Pacific Ocean; said company to be entitled to all privileges, grant of lands, loans of bonds, &c., as granted to the Union Pacific Railroad Company. Referred to the Committee on Pacific Road.

Mr. TRUMBULL called up the supplementary reconstruction bill, which was read at length.

Mr. DRAKE said he had several amendments to offer. The first was to insert in the fifth section a provision that at the election provided for in the preceding sections, the registered voters of each State shall vote on the question of holding a State Convention, and forming a constitution. Those in favor of the convention shall have written or printed on their ballots "for a convention;" those opposed shall have "against a convention" written or printed on their ballots. The persons appointed to superintend elections shall make returns of votes for and against. The commanding General to whom the same shall be returned shall ascertain the total vote, and if a majority of the whole number of those in any State shall have voted for such convention, it shall be held; if a majority shall have voted against a convention, no such convention shall be held.

Mr. DRAKE said he did not like the provisions of the bill as reported on the subject. He did not think the individuals elected as members of the convention should have it in their power to make an official expression to what they choose to declare to be the wishes of the people, but that the people themselves should by their votes directly declare their wishes on that subject.

Mr. TRUMBULL said the Judiciary Committee had considered a proposition similar to that now introduced by Mr. Drake, but had considered it was best as now. Frauds were effectually guarded against by the provisions that the Constitution must be ratified by a majority of the registered voters. He hoped the bill would be passed as it came from the Judiciary Committee. If many amendments were adopted, it would be incongruous.

Mr. DRAKE replied, arguing the necessity for guarding the ballot-box against the possibility of betrayal into the adoption of a constitution against their wishes. The very men who had misled this people during the last six years, are now ready to mislead them again.

Mr. HOWARD supported the amendment as necessary to get a full expression of the popular vote.

Mr. FESSENDEN favored and Mr. FREELINGHUYSEN opposed the amendment.

Mr. MORTON was opposed to submitting the question of the adoption or non-adoption to the rebels at all in the beginning. If two-thirds of the lawful voters of the South were not in favor of a convention, let them all stay at home; but let them not be able to defeat the work of reconstruction; let a convention be held and a constitution framed. It is quite enough for them to have a voice in the ratification of the constitution after it is framed.

Mr. HOWARD contended for the right of Congress at such time and in such manner as it saw fit, to restore the rebel States. He was not in favor of hurry to complete this work as to admit a State without a clear and fair expression of the will of the majority of its citizens. A minority government could not exist in this country, and if any State contained a majority opposed to returning to the Union, he was for keeping it out till doomsday, or until its people repented in dirt and ashes of their crimes.

After further debate, the amendment was rejected, 17 against 27.

YEAS.

Cameron,	Harlan,	Morrill, of Vt.;
Chandler,	Howard,	Patterson, N.H.;
Drake,	Howe,	Sumner,
Ferry,	Morgan,	Thayer,
Fessenden,	Morrill, of Me.;	Wade.
Fowler,		

NAYS.

Anthony,	Doolittle,	Ross,
Buckalew,	Frelinghuysen,	Sherman,
Cole,	Grimes,	Spragues,
Cowling,	Henderson,	Stewart,
Conness,	Hendricks,	Trumbull,
Corbett,	Johnson,	Van Winkle,
Craig,	Morton,	Williams,
Davis,	Norton, Tenn.;	Yates.
Dixon,	Ramsey,	

Mr. DRAKE moved to amend the fourth section by adding the following:

Provided, That no such Constitution shall be considered as entitling any State for which it is framed to such representation unless it provides that in all elections for Members of Congress, and for State officers shall vote by closed ballot, and such mode of voting shall never be changed without the assent of Congress.

A debate of some length followed during which Mr. DRAKE expressed the belief that Congress has a right to thrust out Senators and representatives when a State formed a Constitution with this provision in it, and afterwards violated it.

Mr. MORTON said he would vote for Mr. Drake's amendment if the clause referring to the future were omitted, and provided simply that voting by closed ballot shall be provided for in the Constitution. He believed it very important that the voting should be by closed ballot, but doubted the right of Congress to impose a condition of this kind, which should be binding in the future.

Mr. HENDERSON spoke against the amendment.

After further debate, and without action, the Senate went into executive session, and afterwards adjourned.

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES.

Mr. COVODE asked leave to offer a resolution reciting that charges of irregularities in the Treasury department have been made through the press and in the House, and that such statements are calculated to cause great uneasiness in the country, and providing for the appointment of a select committee to investigate all such charges, and report to the House the present outstanding Government indebtedness as represented by currency, bonds, compound interest notes, and other promises to pay; the deficiencies exhibited by the books and accounts; the amounts of over issues, if any, whether compound interest notes and other promises to pay; whether coupons on duplicated bonds have been presented, if so, what amount of such have been redeemed, and to inquire into other irregularities.

Mr. CHANDLER objected, as the clause was too indefinite, and opened up too wide a field of inquiry.

Mr. COVODE gave notice that he would on Monday move to suspend the rules so as to offer the resolution.

Mr. JULIAN introduced a bill to fix the time for election of Representatives and delegates in Congress, and a bill to constitute eight hours as a day's work for all mechanics and laborers employed by or on behalf of the Government. Referred to the Judiciary.

Mr. HOLMAN desired to have the latter bill put on its final passage now, but Mr. STEVENS objected.

FERNANDO WOOD presented a petition of Mayor Hoffman and citizens of New York, asking the extension of the same privilege to soldiers honorably discharged as are now extended by law to soldiers.

The SPEAKER presented the reply of the Congressional printer to the inquiry contained in a resolution of March 11, respecting the purchase of printing paper.

Mr. SCOFIELD offered a resolution instructing the Committee on Elections to report whether Mr. Hunt or Mr. Chilcott, claiming as delegates from Colorado, is *prima facie* entitled to the seat.

Mr. RANDALL moved that when the House adjourn to-day, it adjourn to meet on Monday. Negatived.

Adjourned.

WASHINGTON, March 15, 1867.

SENATE.

On motion of Mr. GRIMES, the Senate, after some debate, agreed to hold an evening session to-day.

The concurrent resolution to amend one of the joint rules prohibiting the sale or introduction of malt or spirituous liquors into the Capitol building, was adopted.

Mr. MORTON presented a resolution of the Indiana Legislature in favor of equalization of bounties. Referred to the Military Committee.

Mr. CHANDLER reported from the Committee on Commerce a bill to amend the ninth section of the act further to protect lives of passengers on steamboats, &c., so as to exempt steamboats from being compelled to carry friction matches in iron safes. Passed.

Mr. HENDERSON asked for a committee to investigate the conduct of the Printing Bureau of the Treasury Department, to consist of five instead of three members.

Mr. SHERMAN spoke in favor of a joint committee. He was authorized to say there had been no duplication of United States bonds, in all cases of presumed duplication; nothing was discovered but the erroneous stamping, some numbers having been placed on two bonds. The amount thus erroneously stamped was $16,000.

Pending consideration of the motion, the morning hour expired.

Mr. POMEROY introduced a bill to secure the speedy construction of the Union Pacific Railroad, Southern branch, and Telegraph line, and authorizing the Secretary of the Treasury to guarantee the bonds of the Company, &c., being the bill of last session revived.

Mr. POMEROY introduced the bill of last session granting lands to aid in the construction of a railroad and telegraph line from Lawrence, Kansas, to the boundary line between the United States and Mexico, in the direction of Guaymas. Referred to the Committee on Pacific Road.

Mr. COLE introduced a bill providing that in lieu of the appointment of special agents of the Postoffice Department for the Pacific States and Territories, there shall be appointed a superintendent of postal affairs for that district, who shall superintend the postal affairs of the same.

Mr. MORGAN introduced a bill providing that nothing contained in the acts for the preservation of neutral relations of the United States be construed to render it illegal to sell or charter any vessel built within the United States, and purchased from the United States, to any Government then at peace with the United States, or to any subject thereof, though such vessel be prepared for war, provided that such sale or charter be a commercial transaction, and no intent on the part of seller to participate in the hostile act of the purchaser. And provides that all penalties incurred under the acts referred to shall be disposed of in accordance with the act regulating the disposition of penalties and forfeitures under the laws relating to customs, approved February 28, 1867. Referred to the Committee on Foreign Relations.

Mr. PATTERSON introduced a resolution instructing the Committee on Finance to inquire into the expediency of paying the loyal people of Tennessee for Commissary and Quartermaster's stores taken and used by the Federal Army in that State during the late war, and reported a bill providing for the appointment of a Commission of three gentlemen, one of whom shall be learned in the law, to investigate such claims and give vouchers for the same when found correct.

The resolution was agreed to, but Mr. EDMUNDS subsequently entered a motion to reconsider for the purpose of modification.

Mr. MORTON offered a joint resolution to pay Indiana for Quartermasters' and Commissary